

Observations Obviously Subjective — What is a Sasquatch?

Christopher L. Murphy



I am not a scientist by any stretch of the imagination. If I were, it is unlikely I would be presenting this paper or anything else I have written. Nevertheless, there is nothing wrong with making observations.

The first image on the left above shows Dr. Jeff Meldrum and the sasquatch skeleton he created using everything we know about the entity. The second image shows a skeleton of a gorilla (BoneClones). The third image is a scale skeleton (iron) of a 9-foot tall human created by university students in the 1970s (the students shown were not involved in its creation). On the far right is Bill Munns and the model of a *Gigantopithecus blacki* he created, again using everything we know about the creature. The skeletons and model have been made about the same height for comparison purposes (about 8 feet tall – proportions are approximate).

In comparing the sasquatch and gorilla skeletons, we can immediately see that they are similar except the sasquatch arms are shorter and legs are longer. In comparing the sasquatch to the human, it's the reverse—arms are longer and legs are shorter. Whatever the case, for certain an 8-foot tall gorilla skeleton would be much closer to a sasquatch skeleton than that of an 8-foot human in both this aspect and general size proportions.

The *Gigantopithecus blacki* model created by Bill Munns lines up very closely to the gorilla (you have to imagine the bones).

The main deciding factor as to “What is a Sasquatch” (notwithstanding DNA) likely rests with its head (or skull).

In this photograph I am seen holding the skull of the 9-foot human skeleton shown above. This gives you an idea as to a size comparison with a normal human skull; however, it is the skull configuration that matters, not its size.

I believe it is reasonable to state that if the sasquatch is a non-human primate, then its head will be like that created by Dr. Meldrum. If it is human, then it will be more like the skull I am holding, but more primitive looking; much like what is shown here (Australian aboriginal)

Of course, if we could obtain confirmed sasquatch DNA then this question will be answered. At this time the only DNA obtained from what I personally believe was sasquatch hair came out as human, which results in the question that the hair was simply human to begin with. Will we have the answer soon? As they say, “Hope springs eternal.”

