We are once again ranting and raving over the conviction by a lot of people that sasquatch come from another "place" and have special powers beyond our understanding. There is no physical proof of this; but then again there is no physical proof supporting any religion.

Anthropologist and related professionals are dead against any talk of the "paranormal" as it is known; indeed for the great majority, any talk of sasquatch to begin with.

Nevertheless, there is obviously something wrong if there have been about 600 reported incidents in British Columbia over 118 years; and if I am correct in saying that this is only about 20% of the actual number (reported and non-reported) then there were about 3,000 incidents. If you want to know the numbers in all of North America, then multiply by 10. Keep in mind that we are trying to find something with an average height of 8 feet.

So this is the first RED FLAG—the math does not support logic; despite all the excuses—we should have much more concrete evidence than we have.

We have not been able to produce any bones. I know there have been all sorts of claims, but we still can't put a bone on the table.

So this is the second RED FLAG—again, despite all the excuses, we should have found something over the past 118 years.

Despite all the attempts to kill or capture a sasquatch, all have failed. In the first case, it just does not die when shot. In the second case, it just manages to "disappear" (assign your own meaning to this word).

So this is the third RED FLAG—despite some testimony of sasquatch being killed (bullet, train, forest fire), we don't have a scrap of evidence to prove anything.

I really don't think there is a government "cover-up" involved, unless there is some sort of connection with UFOs and all that mess (releasing sasquatch information might lead to proving UFOs and uncovering stuff the government does not want "out there"). Obviously, I am watching too much TV.

The conclusion here is that understanding sasquatch is beyond conventional scientific understanding. The quantum physics angle might have application; but here science says "not so."

Kewaunee Lapseritis is right; we are just "spinning our wheels." He provides an explanation (solution), but it's based on faith, not science as we know it—although there are some inroads (telepathy, other dimensions).

For my part, the sasquatch is certainly a part of North American culture (both as a real entity and one from "elsewhere"). That is how I justify museum exhibits. Hopefully, the exhibits will eventually take us in one direction or the other (proof of reality, or proof of being real, but beyond reality as we know it).

---00---

This image is about the best we have of the P/G film subject's face. All we can do is wonder what she would look like in full face.

I have mentioned before that back in the 1950s, mirror-imaging photos came about. Life Magazine ran an article on it. You take one half of a face, flip it horizontally, and then match it to the original half. The result is not the same as the full original image; but it's close.

I have taken the above photo, made it level and mirror imaged it with the following results. The first image is raw; the second shows eyes and nose-tip added.

One issue might be the "puffed" cheek (left-facing side) which I believe was caused by the head hitting the shoulder when the subject turned to look at Patterson and Gimlin. In this case it would not be duplicated on the other side of the face.

Another is what appear to be "fangs." Two are seen because one is repeated. Anyway the image "math" says teeth would be too small to be seen, so I have to conclude that what we see are not there (photo "noise").

Other frames in the P/G film showing a direct profile view of the subject give us a different impression. It's a little difficult to comprehend that we are looking at the same subject, as seen in the following:
The left image is Frame 339 of the film compared with Frame 350 as discussed previously. Now, if I mirror image a different frame (Frame 364) and compare it with the Frame 350 mirror image I get the following:

These images are both essentially the same, but not as clear because the sources for the images are different.

As to using the profile (Frame 339) to compare it to extinct homins; I agree that a case can be made. I am not sure the same case can be made with the semi-profile images (Frame 350, 352 and 364).

The dilemma this creates is in determining if the subject is possibly human (like us) or something else (ordinary primate or relict homin). If your question is what about “the in-between,” this is not acceptable to science nor to those of a religious persuasion (often both in one). Generally speaking, denying the Old Testament in our culture (and many others) is something you don’t support. If one is in the Ancient Aliens crowd, that’s a different story—here anything goes.

Although I have certainly over-simplified things; I think each side can present about equal evidence to support their side.

If the Sasquatch is a relict homin, then I would think Peking Man (or woman) would be the likely candidate (as suggested by Dmitri Bayanov). Aside from appearance, this homin originated in China, so could have easily migrated to North America over the Bering Strait land bridge. I think the homin would have been hair-covered.

We have now come to the realization that DNA is essentially everywhere. Humans and all biological entities leave tons of it as they wander over the earth. That troublesome dust we must contend with in our homes is absolutely loaded. Here is what Wikipedia says:

Dust in homes, offices, and other human environments contains small amounts of plant pollen, human and animal hairs, textile fibers, paper fibers, minerals from outdoor soil, human skin cells, burnt meteorite particles, and many other materials which may be found in the local environment.

Naturally, anything biological likely has extractable DNA. This means your DNA, that of everyone who is in your home, or who has visited it, plus your dog’s and cat’s DNA is here, there, and everywhere (no matter how well you dust and vacuum).

The same applies to everywhere in the outside world. A shovel-full of your garden soil will reveal the DNA of anything that has had contact with that soil (including you).

When that DNA is extracted and analyzed, it can be matched with a massive database to determine who or what left it (human, dog, cat, mouse, worm and so forth).

This means that earth (or anything) that a Sasquatch came in contact with might (likely will) contain its DNA. Earth from a Sasquatch footprint or forest material used for believed bedding would be primary sources.

Research of this nature is already underway. The hope is that DNA thus obtained will show something like “Primate – not recognized.” In other words, the database has no match.

Unfortunately, if the Sasquatch happens to have DNA the same as humans, or
so close we can’t tell the difference, we are still at “square one.” The same thing happens with hair samples. To prove that the DNA in these cases did not somehow come from an ordinary human is essentially impossible.

I suppose that if “human” is the result in numerous instances, then one can “make a case,” as it were. Nevertheless, there will always be doubt. It is far better that the sasquatch have its own different DNA like all of the other great apes.
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This photo shows the Kemerovo region in Russia. It sets the stage for the material presented on the right—Email and images from Igor Burtsev.

In Russia, there is no massive database for Russian snowman incidents as we have with the sasquatch or bigfoot. In comparison, few Russian incidents are reported. Russian scientists are even less willing to accept homins than North American scientists. This greatly curtails reporting, plus research is very limited.

Nevertheless, there are paranormal associations with reported Russian snowman incidents. I generally stay away from this sort of thing, but sometimes material provided is hard to ignore.

In the case presented on the right a highly talented artist, Andrey Lyubchenko, claims he encountered a Russian snowman with whom he communicated telepathically.

Giving Andrey the benefit of the doubt, we have superior witness drawings of a Russian snowman. What we see is essentially the same as the sasquatch, but I think more hair is needed—Siberia is very cold. I don’t have an answer here as with the P/G film subject. Perhaps I am being too practical—there are likely unknown factors at play.

Andrey went on to have telepathic visions sent by the homin whereby the artist could see the former’s mate and young son. He created drawing of these visions, which are provided on the next page, along with other images.

With regard to physical evidence, Igor provided the following:

Once a Russian snowman met our man Andrey Lyubchenko—an artist, veteran of Afghanistan—in the Kemerovo region, Siberia, and mind spoke to him (telepathically) sitting shoulder to shoulder on the mountain. During that communication the artist drew a portrait of the creature on a piece of birch tree bark; and after that the creature scratched his autograph on that portrait and told him his own name by voice, “Ta-ban.”

For during more than a year they communicated mind speaking... I’ve met with Andrey and have got that portrait with autograph, and requested him to draw the scene of their conversation; I’ve got that drawing too. (Drawings and photos were kindly provided, CLM.)
As to hair samples—we obtained plenty of them; but wasted [not used] as no labs were interested [in doing an analysis]. I handed hair samples from that region to Dr. Sykes, but no response.

This case put me in mind of sasquatch encounters in North America whereby paranormalists claim that “sasquatch people” provide an urgent message involving treatment of our planet. I wondered if this was the same in Russia. Igor informed me that it was the same.

I don’t expect professional people will give this material any credibility. I am providing it for your enjoyment and individual consideration.

From my personal perspective, unlike professionals, I am not afraid to say that I simply don’t know, which of course leaves the “door open.” Most researchers (professionals and otherwise) will likely consider this all fantasy. I have suffered rebuke in the past for mentioning this type of material. All I can say is, “There you have it.”

Many thanks to Igor for sharing this information and images. Whatever your thoughts, it is all very intriguing.
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Conference Photo: The encounter happened in the Tashtagol vicinity where the International Conference on Hominology was held in 2011 with the support of the state bodies, attended by researchers and eyewitnesses from the USA, Canada, Sweden, Estonia and several other regions of Russia.